

Inventor: Adrian Walker, Reengineering LLC, PO Box 1412, Bristol, CT 06011, USA.
Phone: 860-583-9677 E-mail: adrianw@snet.net.

Title of Invention: CONFUSION ENCRYPTION

Cross-Reference to Related Applications: I sent a provisional patent application with the above title and same inventor name, by US Mail to the USPTO, on November 5th 2001.

Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development: The work described herein was not sponsored by any government.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to a method and apparatus for cryptographically transforming a sequence of symbols particularly, to a method and apparatus for encrypting or decrypting a sequence that may represent text, audio, graphic, video or other data.

Cryptographic systems are well known in the computation art. In general, such systems divide a plaintext to be encrypted into a sequence of fixed length blocks (the last block being padded to the fixed length if necessary). The systems then operate by performing an encryption operation on a plaintext input block, using an encryption key, to produce a ciphertext output block. The receiver of an encrypted message performs a corresponding decryption operation, using a decryption key, to recover the plaintext block.

Encryption systems fall into two general categories: asymmetric encryption systems and symmetric encryption systems. Asymmetric (or public key) encryption systems use different keys that are not easily derivable from one another for encryption and decryption. A person wishing to receive messages generates a pair

of corresponding encryption and decryption keys. The encryption key is made public, while the corresponding decryption key is kept secret. Anyone wishing to communicate privately with the receiver may encrypt a message using the receiver's public key. Only the receiver may decrypt the message, however, since only he has the private key. Perhaps the best-known asymmetric encryption system is the RSA encryption system, named after its originators Rivest, Shamir and Adleman and described in B. Schneier, Applied Cryptography (1996), pages 466-474.

Symmetric (or private key) encryption systems, on the other hand, use the same secret key for both encrypting and decrypting messages. Although symmetric encryption systems require some secure means for distributing or agreeing upon secret encryption keys, they continue to be preferred for many applications because of their relative computational efficiency.

Perhaps the best-known symmetric encryption system is the Data Encryption Algorithm (DEA), implementing the Data Encryption Standard (DES) as described in the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications "Data Encryption Standard (DES)", FIPS PUB 46-2 (1980), and "DES Modes of Operation", FIPS PUB 81 (1988). In the DES system, a 64-bit key is used to transform a plaintext message comprising one or more 64-bit plaintext blocks into a ciphertext message comprising a like number of 64-bit ciphertext blocks, or vice versa. (56 bits of the key are independently specifiable, while the remaining 8 bits provide a parity check.)

At the time of its initial promulgation, the 56-bit key length and 64-bit block length of DES were thought to provide adequate protection against cryptographic attacks, including key exhaustion attacks based upon systematically testing all possible keys and dictionary attacks based upon building a "dictionary" of corresponding plaintext and ciphertext blocks. However, continued advances in computing speed have made such brute-force attacks feasible.

The National Institute of Standards and Testing (NIST) has called for a complete replacement of DES, called the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), to be deployed sometime in the future, see <http://csrc.nist.gov/encryption/aes>.

Each of the systems in the prior art described so far, including the Advanced Encryption Standard finalist candidate algorithms MARS, RC6, Rijndael, Serpent and Twofish, (<http://csrc.nist.gov/encryption/aes/round2/round2.htm#algorithms>)

has a particular weakness with respect to an exhaustive key search attack.

Suppose that the plaintext is a meaningful collection of English sentences.

Then, in the prior art, almost all attacks, in which a trial key is used to decode a ciphertext, will result in meaningless strings of symbols. If some meaningful English emerges, the attacker can be fairly sure that the right key has been found.

In United States Provisional Patent Application Serial No 60/291,482, filed May 16, 2001, Benjamin and Walker describe a method of Semantic Encoding of relational databases. Semantic Encoding has the property that an attacker who does not know a key can reasonably produce many plausible but misleading database tables as attempted decodes, but cannot know which of those, if any, is correct. Semantic Encoding hides the relationship between items in a database table, but it does not address the core matters, such as encryption of English text, that are handled by DES, RSA, AES, and the present invention.

Each of the systems in the prior art, except for Semantic Encoding of databases, relies for its security on the difficulty of mathematical and computational problems that are in principle solvable. Advances in mathematics and computation, such as massively parallel biocomputation, can at any time render the systems in the prior art completely insecure.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

To overcome the limitations in the prior art described above, and to overcome other limitations that will become apparent upon reading and understanding the present specification, the present invention discloses a method, system, apparatus, and article of manufacture for a computer implemented Encrypter and Decrypter. According to the present invention, encryption and decryption of a plaintext string of symbols, e.g. a paragraph of English text, uses a key consisting in part of an executable computer program. The method and system is such that an attacker who seeks to recover the plaintext from the ciphertext, without knowing the key, can produce a very large number of decrypt attempts that are plausible, but unrelated in meaning to the original plaintext. However the attacker cannot know whether any one of the attempted decrypts is the correct original plaintext. A property of the method and system is that, if the same plaintext is encrypted twice using the same key, the respective ciphertexts are different, and have different lengths.

For example, suppose that in the present method system, the plaintext to be encrypted is:

Confusion encryption does not rely for its security on the
difficulty of hard but solvable mathematical problems

Using a particular key, of the form described hereinafter, a corresponding ciphertext in the present method and system is:

RKb4lLn18cU2hYbH7hKaQA7eZr57sg9bnks5eMcbLfmbMZtaQ7o3NXwrY3
 vNrxLTeqbkKotnxMOddISXMefq7ro8MglqjipKVMqssWbgomhcs6oi7sAt
 6m03 wfft9lWDeHxFAyaL5 LmP7c8U07XyaioVjo9 ZhvGYoXkdeLDNump
 xZOSKucHkpsMjAO ulmIlvrQrVe0li8Mbcr Mrt87tCnNcKdJ8s1bv6QfH
 znKi7csjuGynMioldm0I5lbN7Pwbfbzgx5mzXci nqtys9TGeuA2MhdrSJn3
 L7slA0JtQ9hZX6dVcmZ yFWlt8xcVDysZf1HGdsbovoqt6Gc So0Xl8ytO
 lVeJQ cbSfjNfFoRMOVeAVDcvGJACvoWXCpMYzRlyxqYn 397heRceGxCT
 hYlilta4P9Kta3Z5fhCmD o0ut9majekH3Fp3Nr jzdoctcgHPluDlaxis
 WU3 k5HSmgnhc8k8 TXzuNoINVvoWQNLoS16Kt07iYKCRetG47v5jkjwnv
 COacaHd dN3gaWCGyaUeKknweVNft7Lxcitv3c DjWP58d5w 7i 5M7OCr
 puyvsjqcfyvl03ikQPlQcMe MHP3uctYiFjaxnm2dc

The above ciphertext actually contains the symbols of the plaintext, but their positions are permuted and they are surrounded by padding symbols. An object of the method and system is that the permutation can be made over the complete length of the plaintext, so that it is not limited to a block length in the manner of the prior art. Another object of the method and system is that, if so desired, it can be used to encrypt plaintext block-by-block; however, the blocks need not be of equal length in the manner of the prior art. Another object of the method and system is that, if the same plaintext is encrypted twice using the same key, the respective ciphertexts are normally different, and normally have different lengths. This is possible because the key contains random computations, whose results are encoded into the padding symbols, in the manner described hereinafter.

Thus an attacker may only know that the plaintext contains a permutation of some of the symbols of the ciphertext. In this situation, an attacker who seeks to

recover the plaintext from the ciphertext, without knowing the key, can produce a very large number of decrypt attempts that are plausible, but unrelated in meaning to the original plaintext. However, the attacker cannot know whether any one of the attempted decrypts is the correct original plaintext. For example, the attacker can produce the following attempted decrypt using the symbols from the above ciphertext:

The committee meets next at 9am on August 11th
in room 12 of the research center

The attempted decrypt is plausible, but it is unrelated to the original plaintext above. There are many other such plausible but misleading attempted decrypts.

As another example, suppose that the plaintext consists of the following 10-digit pin number for an automated teller machine account:

1236547890

Using a particular key, of the form described hereinafter, a corresponding ciphertext in the present method and system is:

0t78V389307p5n591v503Q2342s37Cyo9184366417nE9251z73n682006tr0807S242

The ciphertext contains more digits than the plaintext pin number, and an attacker can choose any 10 of those digits, in any order, to form an attempted decrypt. However, the attacker cannot know whether any result from such attempts is the original plaintext pin number.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING

Figure 1 is a block diagram of the hardware and software environment of a system according to the present invention.

Figure 2 is a flow diagram of the encrypter according to the present invention.

Figure 3 is a continued flow diagram of the encrypter according to the present invention.

Figure 4 is a flow diagram of the decrypter according to the present invention.

Figure 5 is a continued flow diagram of the decrypter according to the present invention.

Figure 6 is a continued flow diagram of the decrypter according to the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Fig. 1 is an exemplary hardware and software environment used to implement the preferred embodiment of the invention. The present invention is typically implemented using one or more computers 110, 210, which are connected by a network 300. Each computer or will normally have an operating system 108, 208 (e.g., Unix), and various items of application software 102, 202. If Confusion Encryption is to be used to send files of text, or other data, securely from one computer to another, then one computer system will be a Sender, 100, and the other computer system will be a Receiver, 200. The Sender, 100, will have Confusion Encrypter hardware and/or software installed, 104. The Receiver, 200, will have Confusion Decrypter hardware and/or software installed, 204. The Sender, 100 and the Receiver, 200, will have copies of a Key 106, respectively 206. If it is desired to send files securely in both directions, then each of the computers 110, 210 will have both an Encrypter 104 and a Decrypter 204 installed.

One skilled in the art will readily see how the components in Fig. 1 are used to realize various embodiments of the present invention as described in Figs. 2-6. The present invention may be implemented as a method, apparatus, or article of manufacture using standard programming and/or engineering techniques to produce software, firmware, hardware, or any combination thereof. The term "article of manufacture" (or alternatively, "computer program") as used herein is intended to encompass a computer program accessible from any computer-readable device, carrier, or media. Of course, those skilled in the art will recognize that many

modifications may be made to this configuration without departing from the scope of the present invention.

The preferred embodiment, described hereinafter and illustrated in Figs. 2-6, represents the various subparts to the system of the present invention:

Encrypter (Figs. 2-3); Decrypter (Figs. 4-6); each subpart will be discussed in detail hereinafter.

The preferred embodiment consists of a key, an Encrypter, and a Decrypter, as follows.

KEY

Unlike the keys used in the prior art, a key for the present method and system, confusion encryption and decryption, consists in part of mathematical functions that can be implemented as computer programs. In the preferred embodiment, the following components of a key are specified, in a file that is made known at run time to the Encrypter program or to the Decrypter program as needed. Thus, a different key can be used by making a different key file known to the Encrypter or Decrypter. The components of a key are described in K1-K6 below. K1-K6 also serve to introduce some notation that will be used to describe the Encrypter and Decrypter.

K1. A set of symbols P to be used for padding, and a set of symbols T, disjoint from P, to be used for t-encoding. (t-encoding is defined below.) T shall contain some, but not all, of the symbols expected in the plaintext that is to be encoded.

K2. A random permutation generator $g(n)$, where n is the length of the plaintext.

g(n) produces a permutation h, such as $\langle 5, 1, 3, 2, 4, \dots \rangle$, that will be used to permute the plaintext. In this example, the 5th symbol of the plaintext will be moved to the first position, the first symbol will be moved to the second position, and so on.

g(n), for a given n, shall produce a different permutation h from successive computations. For example, g(n) may contain a pseudo-random number generator, or a genuine source of random numbers, of the kinds familiar to one skilled in the art.

g(n) shall have the property that h does not have any sequential subsequence of length 3. For example, the permutation $h = \langle 5, 2, 3, 4, 1, \dots \rangle$ shall not be generated, because it contains the subsequence $\langle 2, 3, 4 \rangle$.

K3. An integer $k > 1$ and a function $\text{lengths}(n, k, u) = \langle l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{(n+1)} \rangle$, the lengths of some padding regions to be included in the ciphertext.

u is an integer chosen at random during encryption. During decryption, a t-encoded form of u will be retrieved from the ciphertext. (t-encoding is described in K5 below.)

The lengths l_j produced by $\text{lengths}(n, k, u)$ shall each lie in the region

$$[\log n] \leq l_j \leq k * [\log n]$$

where $[\log n]$ denotes the smallest integer greater than $\log n$, and $\log n$ denotes a logarithm to base 10 of n. The lengths shall appear to be

randomly chosen within the above range, but each computation of $\text{lengths}(n,k,u)$ for a given n , k and u , shall produce the same output.

K4. Let $l_1 + \dots + l_{(n+1)} = m$, and let $l = n + m$

A function $\text{posn}_n(l) = s$, $1 < s < l$,

This function produces a number s that shall be the start position at which a padded t -encoding of the number n will be inserted into a first version of the ciphertext. The padded t -encoding of n , as defined below, shall be of length r , where r is a fixed number that is part of the key.

K5. t -encoding

A table t , having two columns, for example

0	a,g,w,9,2
1	D,u,q,7
..	...
9	p,z,c,3

The table t indicates a way of encoding each of the digits 0-9 in more than one way. In this example, the sequence $\langle p,u,2 \rangle$ is a t -encoding of the number 910, and so is the sequence $\langle 3,D,g \rangle$.

The right hand column of the table t only contains symbols from T , as Defined in K1 of the key. No symbol shall appear more than once in the right hand column of t .

A t-encoding of a number is made by choosing a translation of each digit j of the number using the entries on line j in the right column of the table t at random, e.g. using a pseudo-random number generator of the kind familiar to one skilled in the art. The reverse translation is deterministic, and we call it a t-decoding. If a t-encoded sequence is padded with extra symbols not in T , it can be t-decoded by simply ignoring those extra symbols. For example, if the symbols 'b' and 'h' are not in the set T , the sequence $\langle b, 3, h, D, g, h \rangle$ t-decodes to the number 910 using the above table t .

K6. Let $q = n + m + r$

Let l_q be a fixed length large enough to contain a t-encoding of q

Let r_q be a random number in the range $1 < r_q < q$, indicating a number of to circularly rotate a string of length q .

A function $\text{posn}_{\text{rotate}}(q) = s_q$, $1 < s_q < q$, where s_q is the start position of a region of length l_q that is to contain a padded t-encoding of r_q

Let l_u be a fixed length large enough to contain a t-encoding of u .

l_u is a part of the key.

A function $\text{posn}_u(v) = s_u$, $1 < s_u < v$, where s_u is the start position of a region of length l_u that is to contain a padded t-encoding of u .

ENCRYPTER

Input: A sequence of plaintext symbols $p = \langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$, and the name of a file containing a key as described in K1-K6.

Output: Ciphertext c

E1. Let P_1 be the set symbols in the plaintext, and let

$$P_2 = P \cup (P_1 - T).$$

E2. Run $g(n)$ to generate a random permutation h of $\langle 1, \dots, n \rangle$ as described in K2.

E3. Generate a random integer u .

$$\text{Run lengths}(n, k, u) = \langle l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{(n+1)} \rangle$$

E4. Make pad sequences q_j for $j=1, \dots, (n+1)$ as follows:

q_j for $j=1, \dots, n$ is of length l_j , and consists of $h(j)$ t -encoded as described in K5, interleaved in random positions with symbols chosen at random from P_2 .

$q_{(n+1)}$ is randomly chosen padding, of length $l_{(n+1)}$, using the symbols of $P_2 \cup T$.

E5. Let c_1 be the sequence of symbols

$$\langle q_1, p_{h(1)}, q_2, p_{h(2)}, \dots, q_n, p_{h(n)}, q_{(n+1)} \rangle$$

Insert into c_1 , starting at position s , a t -encoding of n , padded to length r with symbols in P_2 .

The result is a sequence c_2 of length $q = n + m + r$

E6. Run the function $\text{posn}_{\text{rotate}}(q) = s_q$

Rotate c_2 circularly to the right by r_q symbol positions yielding c_3 .

Insert into c_3 at position s_q a t -encoding of r_q padded to length l_q with symbols from P_2 .

The result is a sequence c_4 .

E7. Run the function $\text{posn}_u(|c_4|) = s_u$, where $|c_4|$ is the length of c_4 .

Insert into c_4 at position s_u a t -encoding of u padded to length l_u with symbols from P_2 .

The result is the ciphertext c .

DECRYPTER

Input: A sequence c of ciphertext symbols, and the name of a file containing a key as described in K1 and K3-K6.

Output: A sequence p of plaintext symbols.

- D1. Use the value of l_u in the key to run the function $\text{posn}_u(|c| - l_u) = s_u$, where $|c|$ is the length of the sequence c .

Use s_u and l_u to cut out from c a sequence $e(u)$ of length l_u starting at position s_u , containing a t-encoding of u , leaving a sequence c_4 .

- D2. Use the value of l_q in the key to find $q = |c_4| - l_q$.

Run the function $\text{posn}_{\text{rotate}}(q) = s_q$.

Use s_q and l_q to cut out from c_4 a sequence $e(r_q)$ of length l_q starting at position s_q , containing a t-encoding of r_q , leaving a sequence c_3 .

t-decode $e(r_q)$ to find the number of positions r_q by which c_2 was circularly rotated to the right during encoding.

Rotate c_3 circularly by r_q positions to the left. The result is c_2 .

D3. Use the value r in the key to find $l = |c_2| - r$.

Find $\text{pos}_n(l) = s$, and use s , r and the table t to find n , as follows.

Cut out the sequence starting at s of length r from c_2 yielding a padded t -encoded representation $e(n)$ of n , and leaving a sequence $c_1 = \langle q_1, p_{h(1)}, q_2, p_{h(2)}, \dots, q_n, p_{h(n)}, q_{(n+1)} \rangle$.

t -decode $e(n)$ to find n . t -decode $e(u)$ to find u .

D4. Run the function $\text{lengths}(n, k, u)$ to produce $\langle l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{(n+1)} \rangle$

D5. Use $\langle l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{(n+1)} \rangle$ to cut out $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{(n+1)}$ from c_1 .

The remaining sequence is $\langle p_{h(1)}, p_{h(2)}, \dots, p_{h(n)} \rangle$

D6. t -decode each of q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n .

The result of the t -decode is $\langle h(1), h(2), \dots, h(n) \rangle$, a representation of the permutation h .

Apply the inverse of h to $\langle p_{h(1)}, p_{h(2)}, \dots, p_{h(n)} \rangle$, yielding the plaintext sequence $p = \langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$.

This concludes the detailed description of the invention. The following describes some alternative embodiments for accomplishing the present invention. For example, any type of computer, such as a mainframe, minicomputer, or personal computer, or computer configuration, such as a timesharing mainframe, local area network, virtual private network, peer-to-peer network, or standalone personal computer, could be used with the present invention. The permutations used in the invention can be generated by many different methods, including software and/or hardware based pseudo-random number generators, software and/or hardware based encryption methods, or natural sources of truly random numbers.

In summary, the present invention discloses a method, system, apparatus, and article of manufacture to support the encryption and decryption of a plaintext string of symbols, e.g. a paragraph of English text, using a key consisting in part of an executable computer program. The method and system is such that an attacker who seeks to recover the plaintext from the ciphertext, without knowing the key, can produce a very large number of decrypt attempts that are plausible, but unrelated in meaning to the original plaintext. However the attacker cannot know whether any one of the attempted decrypts is the correct original plaintext.

The foregoing description of the preferred embodiment of the invention has been presented for the purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise form disclosed. Many modifications and variations are possible in light of the above teaching. For example, the symbols of the plaintext can be shifted alphabetically before confusion encryption is applied. As another example, one skilled in the art will easily see how the invention can be applied such that the confusion encrypter, rather than permuting the plaintext over its entire length, permutes the

plaintext block-by-block, where the blocks need not all be of the same length.

As another example, confusion encryption can be applied to the plaintext to produce ciphertext, then applied to the ciphertext, using a different key, to produce further ciphertext; such a process can be repeated several times. It is intended that the scope of the invention be limited not by this detailed description, but rather by the claims appended hereto.